



SHIRE OF MENZIES

MINUTES

OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON

Thursday 28 March 2019

Commencing at 12.30pm

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Contents

1. DECLARATION OF OPENING	1
2. ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS	1
3. RECORD OF ATTENDANCE	1
4. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST.....	1
5. REPORTS OF OFFICERS.....	2
5.1 Compliance Audit Return	2
6. ELECTED MEMBERS MOTION OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAVE BEEN GIVEN	16
7. NEW BUSINESS OF AN URGENT NATURE INTRODUCED BY DECISION OF THE MEETING.....	16
8. NEXT MEETING	16
9. CLOSURE OF MEETING.....	16

5.0 OFFICER REPORT

5.1 COMPLIANCE AUDIT RETURN

LOCATION:	N/A
APPLICANT:	N/A
DOCUMENT REF:	GOV.860.1/NAM271
DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST:	The Author has no interest to disclose
DATE:	21 March 2019
AUTHOR:	Jeanette Taylor Manager Finance and Administration
RESPONSIBLE OFFICER	Rhonda Evans, Chief Executive Officer
ATTACHMENT:	5.1-1 Compliance Audit Return
COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:	

MOVED: Cr Jill Dwyer

SECONDED: Cr Ian Baird

COUNCIL: That the Audit Committee resolve to:

Carried /

1. Note that a review of the Compliance Audit Return for the year ending 31 December 2018 has been conducted, noting the comments contained in the Return;
2. Recommend the adoption of the 2018 Compliance Audit Return as attached to the Council; and
3. Recommend to Council that the Shire President and Chief Executive Officer be authorised to sign the Compliance Audit Return for submission to the Department of Local Government.

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION TO BE DEBATED AND RESOLVED BY COUNCIL:

VOTING REQUIREMENTS:

Simple Majority

IN BRIEF:

Consideration of the Compliance Audit Return (CAR) for the year ending 31 December 2018

RELEVANT TO STRATEGIC PLAN:

14.3 Active civic leadership achieved

- Regularly review plans with community consultation on significant decisions affecting the shire.
- Regularly monitor and report on the Shire's activities, budgets, plans and performance.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY:

Local Government (Audit) Regulation 1996 - REG14.

Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996 - REG 15

POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

Nil

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

Nil

RISK ASSESSMENTS:

OP13 Council does not comply with statutory reporting requirements and deadlines

BACKGROUND:

Each year, Council is required to complete an annual compliance audit return (CAR) for the calendar year immediately preceding (1st January to 31st December) as published by the Department for Local Government and Communities. The CAR is then to be reviewed by the Audit Committee and a report presented to Council prior to the adoption of the CAR.

This year, the CAR was reviewed and completed by Moore Stephens, as part of the four year Shared Statutory Compliance Services agreement established through the Northern Goldfields Collaborative Group (NGCG). Tanya Browning, Manager Local Government Advisory from Moore Stephens attended the Shire offices on Tuesday 19th February 2019, and completed the CAR through interviews with senior staff and the inspection of various documents and records including:

- Minute books
- Tender Register
- Financial Interest & Return Register
- Complaints Register
- Delegations Register

The CAR is one of the tools that allow Council to monitor how the organisation is functioning in regards to compliance with the Local Government Act 1995 and provides the Audit and Risk Committee the opportunity to report to Council any cases of non-compliance or where full compliance was not achieved.

This process also provides opportunity for the Audit and Risk Committee to consider matters that may require further review within ongoing risk framework assessments and actions.

During the completion of the 2018 CAR, there were two instances of non compliance noted, the first relating to the disclosures of interest and the second relating to maintaining a register of notifiable gifts.

During the review, it was noted at the Ordinary Meeting of Council held 30 August 2018, an interest was not properly disclosed. Although the relevant person did not remain at the meeting whilst the item was being discussed, the *Local Government Act 1995* requires the disclosure to be made and recorded appropriately. A register of notifiable gifts was also not available for inspection for the period under review, as no gifts had been declared as received, and therefore no entries existed. It was noted a compliant register at the time of review had since been created.

Systems are now in place to provide shared statutory compliance services through the NGCG, including the maintenance of financial interest and notifiable gift registers, in an effort to reduce the risk of future instances of non compliance relating to this matter.

COMMENT:

The CAR is to be:

- a) Reviewed by the Audit Committee
- b) Presented to Council at a meeting of the Council
- c) Adopted by the Council (along with comments and amendments)
- d) The adoption recorded in the minutes of the meeting to which it is adopted.



Menzies - Compliance Audit Return 2018

Certified Copy of Return

Please submit a signed copy to the Director General of the Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries together with a copy of section of relevant minutes.

Commercial Enterprises by Local Governments					
No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
1	s3.59(2)(a)(b)(c) F&G Reg 7,9	Has the local government prepared a business plan for each major trading undertaking in 2018.	N/A		Moore Stephens
2	s3.59(2)(a)(b)(c) F&G Reg 7,10	Has the local government prepared a business plan for each major land transaction that was not exempt in 2018.	N/A		Moore Stephens
3	s3.59(2)(a)(b)(c) F&G Reg 7,10	Has the local government prepared a business plan before entering into each land transaction that was preparatory to entry into a major land transaction in 2018.	N/A		Moore Stephens
4	s3.59(4)	Has the local government given Statewide public notice of each proposal to commence a major trading undertaking or enter into a major land transaction for 2018.	N/A		Moore Stephens
5	s3.59(5)	Did the Council, during 2018, resolve to proceed with each major land transaction or trading undertaking by absolute majority.	N/A		Moore Stephens



Delegation of Power / Duty					
No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
1	s5.16, 5.17, 5.18	Were all delegations to committees resolved by absolute majority.	N/A		Moore Stephens
2	s5.16, 5.17, 5.18	Were all delegations to committees in writing.	N/A		Moore Stephens
3	s5.16, 5.17, 5.18	Were all delegations to committees within the limits specified in section 5.17.	N/A		Moore Stephens
4	s5.16, 5.17, 5.18	Were all delegations to committees recorded in a register of delegations.	N/A		Moore Stephens
5	s5.18	Has Council reviewed delegations to its committees in the 2017/2018 financial year.	N/A		Moore Stephens
6	s5.42(1),5.43 Admin Reg 18G	Did the powers and duties of the Council delegated to the CEO exclude those as listed in section 5.43 of the Act.	Yes		Moore Stephens
7	s5.42(1)(2) Admin Reg 18G	Were all delegations to the CEO resolved by an absolute majority.	Yes	Item 12.5.7 OMC 29/03/2018 Council Resolution No. 1387	Moore Stephens
8	s5.42(1)(2) Admin Reg 18G	Were all delegations to the CEO in writing.	Yes		Moore Stephens
9	s5.44(2)	Were all delegations by the CEO to any employee in writing.	Yes		Moore Stephens
10	s5.45(1)(b)	Were all decisions by the Council to amend or revoke a delegation made by absolute majority.	N/A		Moore Stephens
11	s5.46(1)	Has the CEO kept a register of all delegations made under the Act to him and to other employees.	Yes		Moore Stephens
12	s5.46(2)	Were all delegations made under Division 4 of Part 5 of the Act reviewed by the delegator at least once during the 2017/2018 financial year.	Yes	Item 12.5.7 OMC 29/03/2018 Council Resolution No. 1387	Moore Stephens
13	s5.46(3) Admin Reg 19	Did all persons exercising a delegated power or duty under the Act keep, on all occasions, a written record as required.	Yes	Monthly report submitted to Council	Moore Stephens

Disclosure of Interest					
No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
1	s5.67	If a member disclosed an interest, did he/she ensure that they did not remain present to participate in any discussion or decision-making procedure relating to the matter in which the interest was disclosed (not including participation approvals granted under s5.68).	Yes		Moore Stephens
2	s5.68(2)	Were all decisions made under section 5.68(1), and the extent of participation allowed, recorded in the minutes of Council and Committee meetings.	N/A		Moore Stephens



No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
3	s5.73	Were disclosures under section 5.65 or 5.70 recorded in the minutes of the meeting at which the disclosure was made.	Yes		Moore Stephens
4	s5.75(1) Admin Reg 22 Form 2	Was a primary return lodged by all newly elected members within three months of their start day.	N/A		Moore Stephens
5	s5.75(1) Admin Reg 22 Form 2	Was a primary return lodged by all newly designated employees within three months of their start day.	N/A		Moore Stephens
6	s5.76(1) Admin Reg 23 Form 3	Was an annual return lodged by all continuing elected members by 31 August 2018.	Yes		Moore Stephens
7	s5.76(1) Admin Reg 23 Form 3	Was an annual return lodged by all designated employees by 31 August 2018.	Yes		Moore Stephens
8	s5.77	On receipt of a primary or annual return, did the CEO, (or the Mayor/ President in the case of the CEO's return) on all occasions, give written acknowledgment of having received the return.	Yes		Moore Stephens
9	s5.88(1)(2) Admin Reg 28	Did the CEO keep a register of financial interests which contained the returns lodged under section 5.75 and 5.76	Yes		Moore Stephens
10	s5.88(1)(2) Admin Reg 28	Did the CEO keep a register of financial interests which contained a record of disclosures made under sections 5.65, 5.70 and 5.71, in the form prescribed in Administration Regulation 28.	Yes		Moore Stephens
11	s5.88 (3)	Has the CEO removed all returns from the register when a person ceased to be a person required to lodge a return under section 5.75 or 5.76.	Yes		Moore Stephens
12	s5.88(4)	Have all returns lodged under section 5.75 or 5.76 and removed from the register, been kept for a period of at least five years, after the person who lodged the return ceased to be a council member or designated employee.	Yes		Moore Stephens
13	s5.103 Admin Reg 34C & Rules of Conduct Reg 11	Where an elected member or an employee disclosed an interest in a matter discussed at a Council or committee meeting where there was a reasonable belief that the impartiality of the person having the interest would be adversely affected, was it recorded in the minutes.	N/A		Moore Stephens
14	s5.70(2)	Where an employee had an interest in any matter in respect of which the employee provided advice or a report directly to the Council or a Committee, did that person disclose the nature of that interest when giving the advice or report.	No	An instance was noted where a relevant person did not disclose an interest as required. Although they did not remain at the meeting whilst the item was considered, the interest was not properly disclosed.	Moore Stephens



No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
15	s5.70(3)	Where an employee disclosed an interest under s5.70(2), did that person also disclose the extent of that interest when required to do so by the Council or a Committee.	N/A		Moore Stephens
16	s5.103(3) Admin Reg 34B	Has the CEO kept a register of all notifiable gifts received by Council members and employees.	No	No entries exist as no gifts have been declared as received. A compliant gift register has since been created.	Moore Stephens

Disposal of Property

No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
1	s3.58(3)	Was local public notice given prior to disposal for any property not disposed of by public auction or tender (except where excluded by Section 3.58(5)).	N/A		Moore Stephens
2	s3.58(4)	Where the local government disposed of property under section 3.58(3), did it provide details, as prescribed by section 3.58(4), in the required local public notice for each disposal of property.	N/A		Moore Stephens

Finance

No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
1	s7.1A	Has the local government established an audit committee and appointed members by absolute majority in accordance with section 7.1A of the Act.	Yes	Item 12.5.5 OMC 10/11/17, resolution no. 1298	Moore Stephens
2	s7.1B	Where a local government determined to delegate to its audit committee any powers or duties under Part 7 of the Act, did it do so by absolute majority.	N/A		Moore Stephens
3	s7.3	Was the person(s) appointed by the local government to be its auditor, a registered company auditor.	N/A	Office of the Auditor General (OAG) is appointed auditor for 2017/18	Moore Stephens
4	s7.3, 7.6(3)	Was the person or persons appointed by the local government to be its auditor, appointed by an absolute majority decision of Council.	N/A		Moore Stephens
5	Audit Reg 10	Was the Auditor's report for the financial year ended 30 June 2018 received by the local government within 30 days of completion of the audit.	N/A	Audit not yet finalised by Moore Stephens OAG	Moore Stephens
6	s7.9(1)	Was the Auditor's report for the financial year ended 30 June 2018 received by the local government by 31 December 2018.	N/A	Audit not yet finalised by Moore Stephens OAG	Moore Stephens



Department of
**Local Government, Sport
and Cultural Industries**

No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
7	S7.12A(3)	Where the local government determined that matters raised in the auditor's report prepared under s7.9 (1) of the Act required action to be taken by the local government, was that action undertaken.	N/A		Moore Stephens
8	S7.12A (4)	Where the local government determined that matters raised in the auditor's report (prepared under s7.9 (1) of the Act) required action to be taken by the local government, was a report prepared on any actions undertaken.	N/A		Moore Stephens
9	S7.12A (4)	Where the local government determined that matters raised in the auditor's report (prepared under s7.9 (1) of the Act) required action to be taken by the local government, was a copy of the report forwarded to the Minister by the end of the financial year or 6 months after the last report prepared under s7.9 was received by the local government whichever was the latest in time.	N/A		Moore Stephens
10	Audit Reg 7	Did the agreement between the local government and its auditor include the objectives of the audit.	N/A		Moore Stephens
11	Audit Reg 7	Did the agreement between the local government and its auditor include the scope of the audit.	N/A		Moore Stephens
12	Audit Reg 7	Did the agreement between the local government and its auditor include a plan for the audit.	N/A		Moore Stephens
13	Audit Reg 7	Did the agreement between the local government and its auditor include details of the remuneration and expenses to be paid to the auditor.	N/A		Moore Stephens
14	Audit Reg 7	Did the agreement between the local government and its auditor include the method to be used by the local government to communicate with, and supply information to, the auditor.	N/A		Moore Stephens
15	Audit Reg 17	Has the CEO reviewed the appropriateness and effectiveness of the local government's systems and procedures in accordance with regulation 17 of the Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996.	No		Moore Stephens
16	Audit Reg 17	If the CEO has not undertaken a review in accordance with regulation 17 of the Local Government (Audit) Regulations 1996, is a review proposed and when.	Yes	Consultants engaged through statutory compliance services agreement to conduct review in week commencing 25th March 2019.	Moore Stephens



No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
1	s5.56 Admin Reg 19DA (6)	Has the local government adopted a Corporate Business Plan. If Yes, please provide adoption date of the most recent Plan in Comments. This question is optional, answer N/A if you choose not to respond.	Yes	CBP 2014-2018 Adopted Item 12.4.7 OMC 27/6/13 Decision number 0366.	Moore Stephens
2	s5.56 Admin Reg 19DA (6)	Has the local government adopted a modification to the most recent Corporate Business Plan. If Yes, please provide adoption date in Comments. This question is optional, answer N/A if you choose not to respond.	Yes	Reviewed and adopted Item 12.5.2 OMC 30/7/15 Decision number 0831. Review to CBP included within four year contract service for Statutory Compliance Services (commenced 1/7/18)	Moore Stephens
3	s5.56 Admin Reg 19C (7)	Has the local government adopted a Strategic Community Plan. If Yes, please provide adoption date of the most recent Plan in Comments. This question is optional, answer N/A if you choose not to respond.	Yes	SCP 2013-2023 adopted at OMC 24/4/13 (Item 12.4.3) Decision 0326.	Moore Stephens
4	s5.56 Admin Reg 19C (7)	Has the local government adopted a modification to the most recent Strategic Community Plan. If Yes, please provide adoption date in Comments. This question is optional, answer N/A if you choose not to respond.	Yes	Desktop review adopted at OMC 30/7/15 (Item 12.5.3). Review to SCP included within four year contract service for Statutory Compliance Services (commenced 1/7/18)	Moore Stephens
5	S5.56	Has the local government adopted an Asset Management Plan. If Yes, in Comments please provide date of the most recent Plan, plus if adopted or endorsed by Council the date of adoption or endorsement. This question is optional, answer N/A if you choose not to respond.	Yes	Asset Management Framework 2013-2017 adopted OMC 27/6/13 (Item 12.4.5) Decision 0364. Review of the AMP included within four year contract service for Statutory Compliance Services (commenced 1/7/18)	Moore Stephens
6	S5.56	Has the local government adopted a Long Term Financial Plan. If Yes, in Comments please provide date of the most recent Plan, plus if adopted or endorsed by Council the date of adoption or endorsement. This question is optional, answer N/A if you choose not to respond.	Yes	LTFP 2014-2029 adopted 1/8/13 (Item 12.4.4) Decision 0379. Review to LTFP included within four year contract service for Statutory Compliance Services (commenced 1/7/18)	Moore Stephens
7	S5.56	Has the local government adopted a Workforce Plan. If Yes, in Comments please provide date of the most recent Plan plus if adopted or endorsed by Council the date of adoption or endorsement. This question is optional, answer N/A if you choose not to respond.	Yes	WFP 2013-2017 adopted 29/11/2012 (Item 11.4.4) Decision number 0255. Review to SCP included within four year contract service for Statutory Compliance Services (commenced 1/7/18)	Moore Stephens



Local Government Employees					
No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
1	Admin Reg 18C	Did the local government approve the process to be used for the selection and appointment of the CEO before the position of CEO was advertised.	Yes	Item 12.5.6 OMC 25/10/18 (Decision number 1543)	Moore Stephens
2	s5.36(4) s5.37(3), Admin Reg 18A	Were all vacancies for the position of CEO and other designated senior employees advertised and did the advertising comply with s.5.36(4), 5.37(3) and Admin Reg 18A.	N/A	CEO vacancy not yet advertised during the reporting period	Moore Stephens
3	Admin Reg 18F	Was the remuneration and other benefits paid to a CEO on appointment the same remuneration and benefits advertised for the position of CEO under section 5.36(4).	N/A		Moore Stephens
4	Admin Regs 18E	Did the local government ensure checks were carried out to confirm that the information in an application for employment was true (applicable to CEO only).	N/A		Moore Stephens
5	s5.37(2)	Did the CEO inform council of each proposal to employ or dismiss a designated senior employee.	N/A		Moore Stephens



Official Conduct					
No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
1	s5.120	Where the CEO is not the complaints officer, has the local government designated a senior employee, as defined under s5.37, to be its complaints officer.	N/A	CEO is the complaints officer	Moore Stephens
2	s5.121(1)	Has the complaints officer for the local government maintained a register of complaints which records all complaints that result in action under s5.110(6)(b) or (c).	Yes		Moore Stephens
3	s5.121(2)(a)	Does the complaints register maintained by the complaints officer include provision for recording of the name of the council member about whom the complaint is made.	Yes		Moore Stephens
4	s5.121(2)(b)	Does the complaints register maintained by the complaints officer include provision for recording the name of the person who makes the complaint.	Yes		Moore Stephens
5	s5.121(2)(c)	Does the complaints register maintained by the complaints officer include provision for recording a description of the minor breach that the standards panel finds has occurred.	Yes		Moore Stephens
6	s5.121(2)(d)	Does the complaints register maintained by the complaints officer include the provision to record details of the action taken under s5.110(6)(b) or (c).	Yes		Moore Stephens

Tenders for Providing Goods and Services					
No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
1	s3.57 F&G Reg 11	Did the local government invite tenders on all occasions (before entering into contracts for the supply of goods or services) where the consideration under the contract was, or was expected to be, worth more than the consideration stated in Regulation 11(1) of the Local Government (Functions & General) Regulations (Subject to Functions and General Regulation 11(2)).	Yes		Moore Stephens
2	F&G Reg 12	Did the local government comply with F&G Reg 12 when deciding to enter into multiple contracts rather than inviting tenders for a single contract.	N/A		Moore Stephens
3	F&G Reg 14(1) & (3)	Did the local government invite tenders via Statewide public notice.	Yes		Moore Stephens
4	F&G Reg 14 & 15	Did the local government's advertising and tender documentation comply with F&G Regs 14, 15 & 16.	Yes		Moore Stephens



Department of
**Local Government, Sport
and Cultural Industries**

No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
5	F&G Reg 14(5)	If the local government sought to vary the information supplied to tenderers, was every reasonable step taken to give each person who sought copies of the tender documents or each acceptable tenderer, notice of the variation.	N/A		Moore Stephens
6	F&G Reg 16	Did the local government's procedure for receiving and opening tenders comply with the requirements of F&G Reg 16.	Yes		Moore Stephens
7	F&G Reg 18(1)	Did the local government reject the tenders that were not submitted at the place, and within the time specified in the invitation to tender.	N/A		Moore Stephens
8	F&G Reg 18 (4)	In relation to the tenders that were not rejected, did the local government assess which tender to accept and which tender was most advantageous to the local government to accept, by means of written evaluation criteria.	Yes		Moore Stephens
9	F&G Reg 17	Did the information recorded in the local government's tender register comply with the requirements of F&G Reg 17.	Yes		Moore Stephens
10	F&G Reg 19	Was each tenderer sent written notice advising particulars of the successful tender or advising that no tender was accepted.	Yes		Moore Stephens
11	F&G Reg 21 & 22	Did the local governments's advertising and expression of interest documentation comply with the requirements of F&G Regs 21 and 22.	Yes		Moore Stephens
12	F&G Reg 23(1)	Did the local government reject the expressions of interest that were not submitted at the place and within the time specified in the notice.	N/A		Moore Stephens
13	F&G Reg 23(4)	After the local government considered expressions of interest, did the CEO list each person considered capable of satisfactorily supplying goods or services.	N/A		Moore Stephens
14	F&G Reg 24	Was each person who submitted an expression of interest, given a notice in writing in accordance with Functions & General Regulation 24.	Yes		Moore Stephens
15	F&G Reg 24AD(2)	Did the local government invite applicants for a panel of pre-qualified suppliers via Statewide public notice.	N/A		Moore Stephens
16	F&G Reg 24AD(4) & 24AE	Did the local government's advertising and panel documentation comply with F&G Regs 24AD(4) & 24AE.	N/A		Moore Stephens



Department of
**Local Government, Sport
and Cultural Industries**

No	Reference	Question	Response	Comments	Respondent
17	F&G Reg 24AF	Did the local government's procedure for receiving and opening applications to join a panel of pre-qualified suppliers comply with the requirements of F&G Reg 16 as if the reference in that regulation to a tender were a reference to a panel application.	N/A		Moore Stephens
18	F&G Reg 24AD(6)	If the local government sought to vary the information supplied to the panel, was every reasonable step taken to give each person who sought detailed information about the proposed panel or each person who submitted an application, notice of the variation.	N/A		Moore Stephens
19	F&G Reg 24AH(1)	Did the local government reject the applications to join a panel of pre-qualified suppliers that were not submitted at the place, and within the time specified in the invitation for applications.	N/A		Moore Stephens
20	F&G Reg 24AH(3)	In relation to the applications that were not rejected, did the local government assess which application(s) to accept and which application(s) were most advantageous to the local government to accept, by means of written evaluation criteria.	N/A		Moore Stephens
21	F&G Reg 24AG	Did the information recorded in the local government's tender register about panels of pre-qualified suppliers, comply with the requirements of F&G Reg 24AG.	N/A		Moore Stephens
22	F&G Reg 24AI	Did the local government send each person who submitted an application, written notice advising if the person's application was accepted and they are to be part of a panel of pre-qualified suppliers, or, that the application was not accepted.	N/A		Moore Stephens
23	F&G Reg 24E	Where the local government gave a regional price preference in relation to a tender process, did the local government comply with the requirements of F&G Reg 24E in relation to the preparation of a regional price preference policy (only if a policy had not been previously adopted by Council).	N/A	Regional Price Preference Policy 4.3 adopted at OMC 29/11/12 (Item 11.4.5) Decision number 0256.	Moore Stephens
24	F&G Reg 24F	Did the local government comply with the requirements of F&G Reg 24F in relation to an adopted regional price preference policy.	Yes		Moore Stephens
25	F&G Reg 11A	Does the local government have a current purchasing policy in relation to contracts for other persons to supply goods or services where the consideration under the contract is, or is expected to be, \$150,000 or less.	Yes	Policy 4.2 Purchasing and Tenders	Moore Stephens



Department of
**Local Government, Sport
and Cultural Industries**

I certify this Compliance Audit return has been adopted by Council at its meeting on _____

Signed Mayor / President, Menzies

Signed CEO, Menzies

6. ELECTED MEMBERS MOTION OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAVE BEEN GIVEN

Nil.

7. NEW BUSINESS OF AN URGENT NATURE INTRICUCED BY DECISION OF THE MEETING

Nil.

8. NEXT MEETING

The next meeting of the Audit Committee will be held on Thursday 30 May 2019 commencing at a time to be announced.

9. CLOSURE OF MEETING

There being no further business the Chairperson closed the meeting at 12.52pm.

I, Greg Dwyer hereby certify that the minutes of the Audit Committee Meeting held 28 March 2019 are confirmed as a true and correct records as per the Audit committee Meeting held 30 May 2019.

Signed _____ Dated : 30 May 2019